1	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD California Corporations Commissioner		
2	ALAN S. WEÏNGER Deputy Commissioner		
3	JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628) Senior Corporations Counsel 320 West 4 th Street, Ste. 750		
4	Los Angeles, California 90013-2344		
5	Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-718	1	
6	Attorneys for Petitioner		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
9			
10	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF) Case No.: BS129007	
11	CALIFORNIA, BY AND THROUGH THE CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS) NOTICE OF APPLICATION AND	
12	COMMISSIONER,) APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER	
13	Petitioner,) APPOINTING A LIMITED RECEIVER TO) LIQUIDATE AND WIND UP TRUST	
14	VS.) ACCOUNT AFFAIRS; MEMORANDUM OF) POINTS AND AUTHORITIES;	
15) DECLARATIONS OF KATHLEEN R.	
16	APPLE ESCROW,) PARTIN AND PETER A. DAVIDSON	
17	Respondent.) Assigned To: Judge Robert H. O'Brien	
18		_)	
19		DATE: January 11, 2011 TIME: 9:30 a.m.	
20		DEPT: 85	
		Action filed: October 20, 2010	
21	Action filed: October 20, 2010		
22	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:		
23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on January 11, 2011, at 9:30 a.m. in Department 85 of the		
24	Los Angeles County Superior Court, located at 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles, California, or as		
25	soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, Petitio	oner, the People of the State of California, by and	
26	through the California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner" or "Petitioner") will, and		
27	hereby does, apply for an Order Appointing a Limited Receiver over the escrow trust property and		
28	records of Apple Escrow as more fully described in the Petition filed herewith.		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

1. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Respondent, Apple Escrow ("Apple"), is a California corporation, which had its principal place of business at 13585 Whittier Boulevard, Suite 103, Whittier, California 90605. Apple is an escrow agent licensed by the California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner" or "Petitioner"), pursuant to the Escrow Law of the State of California (Section 17000 et seq. of the California Financial Code, hereinafter referred to as the "Escrow Law"). William Campion ("Campion") is the president and sole shareholder of Apple according to the records of the Department of Corporations ("Department"). At all times relevant herein, Mary Ann Palmer ("Palmer") was the manager and Veronica Amaya ("Amaya") was the escrow officer of Apple. (Declaration of Kathleen R. Partin ("Partin"), p. 7, l. 17-27)

On or about March 11, 2010, a special examination of the books and records of Apple was commenced by the Commissioner, by and through his staff, after the Department received information on or about March 10, 2010 that a check written against the trust account of Apple had been returned non-sufficient funds. (Partin, p. 7, 1, 28 and p. 8, 1, 1-5)

The special examination revealed that commencing in or about April 2008, and continuing through at least March 9, 2010, Apple, by and through Palmer and Amaya, made unauthorized disbursements of trust funds to Apple to pay the operating expenses of Apple and to repay personal loans of Palmer totaling \$63,808.49 in violation of Financial Code section 17414(a)(1) and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 1738 and 1738.2. (Partin, p. 8, 1. 5-12)

The special examination further revealed that the unauthorized disbursements described above, along with other trust account issues, had caused a shortage of at least \$67,385.36 to exist in the trust account of Apple in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1738.1. The Commissioner made several demands on Apple to cure the trust account shortage. However, Apple failed to cure the shortage and continues in its failure to cure the shortage. (Partin, p. 8, l. 13-17)

The special examination also disclosed that Apple had not reconciled it trust account since May 31, 2008 in violation of Financial Code section 17404 and California Code of Regulations, title

11

10

13

12

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

10, section 1732.2. (Partin, p. 8, l. 18-20)

On or about April 20, 2010, the Commissioner learned that Comerica Bank had determined to sever its relationship with Apple, and on April 16, 2010 issued cashier's check number 200003744 in the amount of \$248,369.12 to Apple Escrow and delivered the cashiers' check to Apple on April 16, 2010 via messenger. As of April 20, 2010, at or about 11:00 a.m., the cashier's check had not been presented to Comerica bank for payment. (Partin, p. 8, 1. 21-25)

Based upon the findings of the special examination, the Commissioner determined that Apple was conducting its business in such an unsafe, injurious and unauthorized manner so as to render further operations hazardous to the public and to its customers, and that as a result thereof, Apple was unable to meet the demands of persons beneficially interested in the escrow trust account. . (Partin, p. 8, 1, 26-28 and p. 9, 1, 1)

To protect the public and the customers of Apple from such practices, the Commissioner on April 20, 2010, issued an Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities Pursuant to Financial Code Section 17415 to Apple along with a Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Property and Business pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621 and an Order Appointing Conservator pursuant to Financial Code Section 17630. These Orders were personally served on Apple on April 21, 2010. (Partin, p. 8, 1. 2-9)

Pursuant to the Order Appointing Conservator, Peter A. Davidson of the Law Firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP ("Conservator") took possession of the property, business and assets of Apple on April 21, 2010. The Conservator remains in possession of the trust account, which has \$18,655.39 on deposit, and the banking and escrow records of Apple to the extent such records of Apple has been identified. (Partin, p. 9, 1. 10-13 and Declaration of Peter A. Davidson ("Davidson"), p. 11, l. 11-21 and p. 12, l. 18-21)

Immediately upon appointment, the Conservator commenced an extensive review of the books and records of Apple. The review was further complicated when numerous escrow parties contacted the Conservator regarding recent funds they had deposited with Apple, which had not been posted to the ledgers by Apple and had been deposited directly into the general account and used for operating expenses. The Conservator's review of the books and records to date discloses that there

is a shortage in the trust account of at least \$120,338.59, but possibly as much as \$341,338.59, as further persons have recently come forward complaining of a misappropriated escrow deposit, which involved a missing escrow file. (Davidson, p. 12, l. 16-28 and p. 13, l. 1-6)

After appointment, the Conservator was able to recover \$44,545.94 from a certificate of deposit that had been posted by Apple in lieu of a surety bond. As of September 30, 2010, the expenses incurred in the Conservatorship exceeded the recovered CD funds by more than \$17,000.00. (Davidson, p. 12, 1, 3-6)

While the Conservator has filed a Proof of Loss Claim with Escrow Agent's Fidelity Corporation, the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry, there remains much additional work that needs to be accomplished before this matter can be concluded, including dealing with and possibly negotiating with Escrow Agent's Fidelity Corporation concerning the Proof of Loss, settling or possibly litigating the Proof of Loss Claim, reviewing escrow files to determine to whom the funds are owed, disbursing any recovered funds, and making arrangements for the maintenance of the escrow files for the required statutory period. (Davidson, p. 13, l. 11-17)

On October 19, 2010, in furtherance of limiting the expenses to be incurred in this matter, the Commissioner amended the conservatorship order to return possession of Apple to Campion and/or Palmer except for the escrow agent's license, the remaining trust funds, and the banking and escrow records. (Partin, p. 10, 1. 5-8)

2. THIS PETITION FOR APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER IS AUTHORIZED UNDER CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE SECTION 564 AND FINANCIAL CODE SECTION 17336

Code of Civil Procedure section 564 provides in pertinent part as follows:

A receiver may be appointed, in the manner provided in this chapter, by the court in which an action or proceeding is pending in any case in which such court is empowered by law to appoint a receiver

Financial Code section 17636 provides for the appointment of a receiver for an escrow agent as follows:

Whenever the commissioner has taken possession of the property and business of a licensee he may petition the superior court for the appointment of a receiver to liquidate the affairs of the licensee.

This is a proper case for petitioning the court for a receiver over Apple as Petitioner has taken possession of the property and business of Apple, and retains such possession as it relates to its trust account affairs.

3. PETITIONER HAS FULFILLED ALL THE STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF A RECEIVER

Based upon the findings of the special examination; i.e., that Apple had made unauthorized disbursements from the trust account and had a trust account shortage, Petitioner determined that Apple was conducting its business in such an unsafe, injurious and unauthorized manner so as to render further operations hazardous to the public and to its customers, and that as a result thereof, Apple was unable to meet the demands of persons beneficially interested in the escrow trust account. To protect the public and the customers of Apple from such practices, Petitioner, on April 20, 2010, issued an Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities Pursuant to Financial Code Section 17415 to Apple along with a Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Property and Business pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621 and an Order Appointing Conservator pursuant to Financial Code Section 17630. These Orders were personally served on Apple on April 21, 2010. (Partin, p. 8, 1. 26-28 and p. 9, 1. 1-9)

As set forth in Section 2 above, Financial Code section 17636 establishes taking possession of the property and business of the licensee as the only prerequisite to a petition for appointment of a receiver to liquidate the affairs of a licensee. This taking occurred on April 21, 2010. (Partin, p. 9, 1. 2-13) Pursuant to Financial Code section 17622, Apple had ten (10) days after the taking to apply to the superior court for an order to enjoin further proceedings by Petitioner. The ten days provided by Financial Code section 17622 has expired, and there has been no action commenced by Apple to contest the actions of Petitioner described above. (Partin, p. 9, 1. 8-9) Thus, Petitioner has met the statutory requirements for seeking the appointment of a receiver.

4. A RECEIVERSHIP IS NECESSARY TO PROTECT APPLE'S CUSTOMERS AND TO ADEQUATELY DISPOSE OF APPLE'S TRUST AFFAIRS

The special examination of Apple's books and records along with the follow up conducted by the Conservator revealed a trust account shortage of at least \$120,838.59, but possibly as high as

2-7

27 || 5

5. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, and based on the statutory authority quoted, Petitioner

\$341,838.59. (Partin, p. 9, l. 19-22 and Davidson, p. 12, l. 22-28 and p. 13, l. 1-10) The extensive review that has been conducted by the Conservator in order to prepare a tentative trust account reconciliation and thereafter file a Proof of Loss Claim with Escrow Agent's Fidelity Corporation ("EAFC"), the industry's fidelity insurer, has exhausted all monies available to pursue this matter to a conclusion. In order to conclude this matter, the Proof of Loss Claim must be dealt with, which include providing further documentation to EAFC, and possibly negotiating with EAFC concerning the Proof of Loss, settling or possibly litigating the Proof of Loss Claim. Upon receipt of monies from EAFC, whether through settlement or otherwise, the pertinent escrow files must be reviewed to determine to whom the funds are owed, the recovered funds must be disbursed, and arrangements made for maintenance of the escrow files for the required statutory period. (Davidson, p. 13, l. 11-23)

Petitioner is unaware that any assets of Apple exist with which to continue to pursue the avenues necessary to wind up the trust account affairs of Apple or bring about any relief to the trust fund claimants. This lack of assets can be best addressed through a receivership as a receivership provides more avenues of funding to pursue the measures necessary to protect the customers of Apple. Through a receivership, the Court is empowered to authorize the payment of expenses incurred on behalf of the estate from existing trust funds. (Partin, p. 10, l. 9-14)

Moreover, a receivership would provide a formal claims process which would allow a "flushing out" of the trust liabilities, and by establishing a deadline for claims filing, bring a final resolution to all liabilities. (Partin, p. 10, l. 15-18)

In light of the above, Petitioner submits that this Court's appointment of a limited receiver over Apple to properly liquidate its trust account affairs and to provide the greatest protection to Apple's customers is mandated by the current state of affairs surrounding Apple as enumerated above. An emergency exists and the public will suffer irreparable harm unless and until a receiver is appointed over Apple in order to wind up and liquidate its escrow trust affairs. (Partin, p. 10, l. 19-23)

1	respectfully requests this Court enter an Order granting the relief requested in the Petition filed	
2	herewith.	
3	Dated: October 28, 2010	
4	Los Angeles, California	
5	Respectfully Submitted,	
6	PRESTON DuFAUCHARD	
7	California Corporations Commissioner	
8		
9	By JUDY L. HARTLEY	
10	JUDY L. HARTLEY Attorney for Petitioner,	
11	California Corporations Commissioner	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	6	
		_

Notice of Application and Application for Appointment of Limited Receiver

DECLARATION OF KATHLEEN R. PARTIN

I, KATHLEEN R. PARTIN, declare as follows:

- 1. I am the Special Administrator of the Escrow Law for the Financial Services
 Division, State of California Department of Corporations ("Department") and have been in this
 position since January 20, 2006. I make this declaration in my official capacity and not otherwise. I
 submit this Declaration in support of the California Corporations Commissioner's Application for an
 Order Appointing a Limited Receiver to Liquidate and Wind Up Trust Account Affairs brought
 against Apple Escrow ("Apple"). I am also a custodian of records for the Department and I am
 familiar with the record keeping process of the Department. I have reviewed the Department's files
 pertaining to Apple and the exhibits discussed herein and attached hereto are true and correct copies
 of documents contained in the Department's files on Apple. I have personal knowledge of the facts
 set forth in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently to those
 facts.
- 2. In my capacity as Special Administrator, I have been personally involved in the actions taken by the Department against Apple.
- 3. The Department is the state agency responsible for the regulation and enforcement of the independent escrow industry in California. Apple is an escrow agent licensed by the Department pursuant to the Escrow Law, California Financial Code Section 17000 et seq. The Department issued an escrow agent's license to Apple on April 24, 1985. Apple is a California corporation, which had its principal place of business at 13585 Whittier Boulevard, Suite 103, Whittier, California 90605. A true and correct copy of the escrow agent's license issued to Apple along with the most recent amendment is attached and incorporated to this Application as Exhibit A.
- 4. According to the records submitted to the Department, William Campion is the president and sole shareholder of Apple. Mary Ann Palmer ("Palmer") was the office manager and Veronica Amaya ("Amaya") was the escrow officer of Apple. The Department has been informed that Palmer was buying Apple from Campion. However, the Department has not received any of the paperwork required to process and approve such a change in ownership.
 - 5. On or about March 10, 2010, I received information from Escrow Agent's Fidelity

Corporation ("EAFC"), the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry, that a check written against the Apple trust account had been returned non-sufficient funds. I immediately made a request to the Department's regulatory staff to commence a special examination of Apple to determine the status of the trust account and whether any shortage existed, and if so, what was the cause. The special examination was commenced on March 11, 2010. I have reviewed the reports prepared by Corporations Examiner Camtu Tran regarding the Department's findings of the March 11, 2010 special examination.

- 6. The special examination revealed that commencing in or about April 2008, and continuing through at least March 9, 2010, Apple, by and through Palmer and Amaya, made unauthorized disbursements of trust funds to Apple to pay the operating expenses of Apple and to repay personal loans of Palmer totaling \$63,808.49 in violation of Financial Code section 17414(a)(1) and California Code of Regulations, title 10, sections 1738 and 1738.2.
- 7. The special examination further revealed that the unauthorized disbursements described in paragraph 6 above, along with other trust account issues, had caused a shortage of at least \$67,385.36 to exist in the trust account of Apple in violation of California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1738.1. The Commissioner made several demands on Apple to cure the trust account shortage. However, Apple failed to cure the shortage and continues in its failure to cure the shortage.
- 8. The special examination also disclosed that Apple had not reconciled it trust account since May 31, 2008 in violation of Financial Code section 17404 and California Code of Regulations, title 10, section 1732.2.
- 9. On or about April 20, 2010, the Commissioner learned that Comerica Bank had determined to sever its relationship with Apple, and on April 16, 2010 issued cashier's check number 200003744 in the amount of \$248,369.12 to Apple Escrow and delivered the cashiers' check to Apple on April 16, 2010 via messenger. As of April 20, 2010, at or about 11:00 a.m., the cashier's check had not been presented to Comerica bank for payment.
- 10. Based upon the findings of the special examination, the Commissioner determined that Apple was conducting its business in such an unsafe, injurious and unauthorized manner so as to

render further operations hazardous to the public and to its customers, and that as a result thereof,

Apple was unable to meet the demands of persons beneficially interested in the escrow trust account.

- 10. To protect the public and the customers of Apple from such practices, the Commissioner on April 20, 2010, issued an Order to Discontinue Escrow Activities Pursuant to Financial Code Section 17415 to Apple along with a Demand For and Order Taking Possession of the Property and Business pursuant to Financial Code Section 17621 and an Order Appointing Conservator pursuant to Financial Code Section 17630. These Orders were personally served on Apple on April 21, 2010. A true and correct copy of these Orders along with the proof of service is attached and incorporated to this Application as Exhibit B. Apple has never contested the Department's actions in taking possession and appointing a conservator over the company.
- 13. Pursuant to the Order Appointing Conservator, Peter A. Davidson of the law firm of Ervin Cohen & Jessup LLP took possession of the business and property of Apple on April 21, 2010. The Conservator remains in possession of the trust account, which has \$18,655.39 on deposit, and the banking and escrow records of Apple to the extent such records of Apple has been identified.
- In have reviewed the progress memorandum prepared by the Conservator. Immediately upon appointment, the Conservator commenced an extensive review of the available books and records of Apple. The review was further complicated when numerous escrow parties contacted the Conservator regarding recent funds they had deposited with Apple, which had not been posted to the ledgers by Apple and had been deposited directly into the general account and used for operating expenses. The Conservator's review of the books and records to date discloses that there is a shortage in the trust account of at least \$120,338.59, but possibly as much as \$341,338.59, as further persons have recently come forward complaining of a misappropriated escrow deposit, which involved a missing escrow file.
- 15. After appointment, the Conservator was able to recover \$44,545.94 from a certificate of deposit that had been posted by Apple in lieu of a surety bond. As of September 30, 2010, the expenses incurred in the Conservatorship exceeded the recovered CD funds by more than \$17,000.00.
 - 16. While the Conservator has filed a Proof of Loss Claim with EAFC, there remains

much additional work that needs to be accomplished before this matter can be concluded, including dealing with and possibly negotiating with EAFC concerning the Proof of Loss, settling or possibly litigating the Proof of Loss Claim, reviewing escrow files to determine to whom the funds are owed, disbursing any recovered funds, and making arrangements for the maintenance of the escrow files for the required statutory period.

- 17. On October 19, 2010, in furtherance of limiting the expenses to be incurred in this matter, the Commissioner amended the conservatorship order to return possession of Apple to Campion and/or Palmer except for the escrow agent's license, the remaining trust funds, and the banking and escrow records.
- 18. The Department is unaware that any assets of Apple exist with which to continue to pursue the avenues necessary to wind up the trust account affairs of Apple or bring about any relief to the trust fund claimants. This lack of assets can be best addressed through a receivership as a receivership provides more avenues of funding to pursue the measures necessary to protect the customers of Apple. Through a receivership, the Court is empowered to authorize the payment of expenses incurred on behalf of the estate from existing trust funds.
- 19. Additionally, the formal claims process which would occur via a receivership to liquidate and wind up the trust account affairs of Apple would allow a "flushing out" of the trust liabilities, and by establishing a deadline for claims filing, bring a final resolution to all trust liabilities.
- 20. For the reasons stated above, the Commissioner has concluded that it is necessary to place Apple into a limited receivership to properly liquidate its trust account affairs and to provide the greatest protection to Apple's customers. An emergency exists and the public will suffer irreparable harm unless and until a receiver is appointed over Apple in order to wind up and liquidate its escrow trust affairs.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this ____ day of October, 2010 at Los Angeles, California.

KATHLEEN R. PARTIN

4 5

6 7

9

10

11

8

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

DECLARATION OF PETER A. DAVIDSON

I, PETER A. DAVIDSON, declare as follows:

- I am an attorney admitted to practice law in the State of California and before this Court. I submit this Declaration in support of the California Corporations Commissioner's Application for an Order Appointing a Limited Receiver to Liquidate and Wind Up Trust Account Affairs brought against Apple Escrow ("Apple"). I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. I was appointed Conservator for Apple by the California Corporations Commissioner on April 20, 2010.
- 3. As Conservator, I took possession of the property and business of Apple, which included the trust account of Apple and the existing escrow records. I and my accountant met with Mary Ann Palmer ("Palmer") and Veronica Amaya ("Amaya") the day I took possession of Apple to discuss the shortages in Apple's escrow trust account and its open escrows. Palmer openly admitted that funds had inappropriately been taken from the trust account to fund business operations. Palmer also admitted that there were not sufficient funds to close the open escrows. After discussing the situation with Judy L. Hartley, counsel for the Commissioner, I made arrangements to transfer, that day, the pending open escrows to Complete Escrow. The owner and an escrow officer for Complete Escrow came to Apple and a meeting was held and arrangements were made to transfer the open escrows. The open escrow files were located and provided to Complete Escrow, and receipts were obtained for those escrow files.
- 4. That same day, I had discussions with Palmer concerning the location and status of Apple's escrow files. It was revealed that only some of the 2009 and 2010 escrow files existed in hard copy, and they were located at the office. Most of the prior escrow files had been scanned and placed on disks. The disks of the older escrow files were turned over to me as conservator. My field agent inventoried and packed up the hard copy files and moved them to a storage facility. I discussed uncashed outstanding escrow checks with Amaya and a list was printed from Apple's computer system showing the outstanding checks. Other accounting data was also downloaded from Apple's computer system. The property was secured and locked.

- 5. Over the next few weeks, I fielded telephone calls from parties to escrows concerning missing funds and escrow records. I was also able to recover \$44,545.94 from a certificate of deposit that had been posted by Apple in lieu of a fidelity bond. After I was able to retrieve all the records from Apple's offices, the premises were returned to Palmer.
- 6. At the time of my appointment, \$248,369.12 existed in Apple Escrow's trust account, which was in the form of a cashier's check issued by Comerica Bank to Apple. After consulting with counsel for the Commissioner, \$229,713.73 of those funds earmarked for a specific escrow, and deposited to the trust account subsequent to the cessation of unauthorized disbursements, were transferred to Complete Escrow so that the specific escrow could close. Even that sum was not sufficient to close the sale, but the brokers agreed to delay receiving part of their commissions so the escrow could close. The brokers eventually received an assignment from the seller of the seller's claim to funds that will eventually, hopefully, be distributed to make up for their agreement to reduce their commissions.
- 7. My accountants proceeded over the next few months to attempt to reconcile Apple's books so that a Proof of Loss could be filed with the Escrow Agents Fidelity Corporation, the fidelity insurer for the independent escrow industry. This proved to be very difficult given the lack of certain records maintained by Apple and the fact that a number of escrow files were missing. A number of requests were made to Apple's bank for bank records and cancelled checks, which were eventually received and provided to the accountants.
- 8. A tentative reconciliation was finally prepared, although there are still a number of escrow files that are missing. The reconciliation indicates a loss (trust account shortage) of \$120,338.59. Prior to the completion of the reconciliation, I was contacted by an accountant on behalf of Maria and Valentine Calderon concerning Apple escrows numbers 9595 and 9559. The Calderons asserted that they had deposited \$260,000.00 with Apple and that the funds were misappropriated. I spent significant time exploring the Calderons' claims, including writing and obtaining copies of cancelled checks from Apple's bank. Apple escrow file 9595 is missing or never existed. I was able to determine that \$39,000.00 of the \$260,000.00 deposit was transferred from Apple escrow number 9595 to Apple escrow number 9559 so that Apple escrow number 9559 could

close for the benefit of the Calderons. That results in a reduced claim by the Calderons of \$221,000.00. The other transfers of the funds from Apple Escrow number 9595 appeared to have gone either to Apple, to Helen Hinojos, the Calderons' loan broker (and possibly a relative), and \$25,000.00 to the Calderons' son. An investigation into this escrow continues.

- 9. I finalized the Proof of Loss, which was submitted to the Escrow Agents Fidelity Corporation on October 11, 2010. The Proof of Loss Claim seeks recovery of the \$120,838.59 shown in my reconciliation of the Apple trust account, and the \$221,000.00 missing from Apple escrow number 9595.
- 10. Additional work needs to be accomplished before this matter can be concluded and includes dealing with and possibly negotiating with the Escrow Agents Fidelity Corporation concerning the Proof of Loss, settling or litigating the Proof of Loss, and obtaining whatever funds can be obtained from the Escrow Agents Fidelity Corporation. I also still need to review the escrow files to determine exactly to whom any funds recovered should be disbursed. Once that determination is made, then the funds have to be disbursed; and arrangements will have to be made to deal with the Apple escrow files which need to be maintained for five years.
- 11. I currently am holding \$22,928.85. Of that sum, \$18,655.39 is trust funds and \$4,273.41 in remaining funds from the certificate of deposit posted by Apple. I am currently owed \$4,153.90 as of September 30, 2010. My field agent is owed \$454.50, and my accountants are owed \$16,763.95 as of August 30, 2010. There are, therefore, insufficient funds in the conservatorship to pay administrative expenses and the conservatorship needs to be transformed into a receivership in order to bring this matter to a conclusion.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this ____ day of October 2010, at Beverly Hills, California.